

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,647	04/09/2007	Hirohito Suzuki	27696U	2940
20529 THE NATH I	529 7590 03/23/2010 HE NATH LAW GROUP		EXAMINER	
112 South West Street			BELL, KENT L	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1661	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/593,647 SUZUKI, HIROHITO Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner Kent L. Bell 1661 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on papers filed December 23, 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,24, and 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/21/06 and 10/7/08

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informat Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/593,647 Page 2

Art Unit: 1661

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Horejsi et al. (7,241,941).

Horejsi et al. teach a cultivation method of a flowering plant comprising a step of releasing restraint (removal of sepals) on a floral bud imposed by outermost layer of the floral bud at a stage between immediately after floral bud initiation and flowering period (Col. 12, lines 58-67 and Col. 13, lines 1-6).

Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Klemm (PP12,670).

Klemm teaches a flowering plant, Carnation plant named 'Klecincre' (Entire Plant Patent). Klemm further teaches a cut flower (Col. 4, lines 10 and 11).

Application/Control Number: 10/593.647

Art Unit: 1661

Claims 2 and 5 are product by process claims. Therefore, the flowering plant and cut flower of Klemm are the same as the flowering plant and cut flower as Claims 2 and 5, respectively, even though the method of obtaining the product is different (MPEP 2113).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horejsi et al. (7,241,941) as applied to Claim 1 above, and further in view of Klemm (PP12,670).

Horejsi et al. teach a cultivation method of a flowering plant comprising a step of releasing restraint (removal of sepals) on a floral bud imposed by outermost layer of the floral bud at a stage between immediately after floral bud initiation and flowering period (Col. 12, lines 58-67 and Col. 13, lines 1-6).

Horejsi et al. is silent to a cut flower.

Klemm teaches a flowering plant, Carnation plant named 'Klecincre' (Entire Plant Patent). Klemm further teaches a cut flower (Col. 4, lines 10 and 11).

It would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute a cut flower in place of a flowering plant of Horejsi et al. in order to obtain the desired result which would be the step of releasing restraint on a Application/Control Number: 10/593,647 Page 4

Art Unit: 1661

floral bud imposed by outermost layer of the floral bud at a stage between immediately after floral bud initiation and flowering period. Thus the claimed invention would have been prima facie obvious as a whole to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time it was made, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,647

Art Unit: 1661

#### Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kent L. Bell whose telephone number is 571-272-0973. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on 571-272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

K I Bell

/Kent L. Bell/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1661